



METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
SERVICE AUTHORITY FOR FREEWAYS AND EXPRESSWAYS

SCOTT HAGGERTY, CHAIR
Alameda County

July 14, 2010

ADRIENNE J. TISSIER, VICE CHAIR
San Mateo County

Addendum No. 1

TOM AZUMBRADO
U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development

to

Request for Proposal

TOM BATES
Cities of Alameda County

Bay Area Caltrans District 4 Transportation Management Center

Video Wall Replacement Services

Dated June 23, 2010

DEAN J. CHU
Cities of Santa Clara County

Dear Contractor:

DAVE CORTESE
Association of Bay Area Governments

This letter is Addendum No. 1 to the Bay Area Caltrans District 4 Transportation Management Center Video Wall Replacement Services Request for Proposal (RFP) dated June 23, 2010. Deleted text is shown in ~~strike-through~~ format and added text is shown in *italicized* format. The RFP is revised as follows:

CHRIS DALY
City and County of San Francisco

BILL DODD
Napa County and Cities

DORENE M. GIACOPINI
U.S. Department of Transportation

<u>Item</u>	<u>Reference</u>	<u>Change(s)</u>
1	Letter of Invitation, Proposal Due Date, Page 1, and all references to the Proposal Due Date	<p>Proposal Due Date</p> <p>Interested firms must submit an original and six (6) copies, as well as one electronic PDF (<i>include one proposal in one PDF</i>) and Word/Excel versions, of their proposal by 4:00 pm PST, Wednesday, July 21, 2010 <i>Friday, August 6, 2010</i>. Proposals received after that date and time will not be considered. Proposals shall be considered firm offers to provide the services described for a period of ninety (90) days from the time of submittal.</p> <p><i>Interested firms may submit more than one proposal for an alternate solution. Each proposal must be submitted in the manner described above.</i></p>

FEDERAL D. GLOVER
Contra Costa County

ANNE W. HALSTED
San Francisco Bay Conservation
and Development Commission

STEVE KINSEY
Marin County and Cities

SUE LEMPERT
Cities of San Mateo County

JAKE MACKENZIE
Sonoma County and Cities

JON RUBIN
San Francisco Mayor's Appointee

BIJAN SARTIPI
State Business, Transportation
and Housing Agency

JAMES P. SPERING
Solano County and Cities

AMY WORTH
Cities of Contra Costa County

KEN YEAGER
Santa Clara County

STEVE HEMINGER
Executive Director

ANN FLEMER
Deputy Executive Director

<u>Item</u>	<u>Reference</u>	<u>Change(s)</u>
2	Letter of Invitation, Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Participation, Page 2	MTC SAFE has established a Underutilized Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (UDBE) contract goal of 42% 1% for contracts entered into as a result of this RFP. Respondents are required to document their activities in the solicitation and selection of subcontractors on Appendices I-3, I-4, and I-5, the Local Agency Proposer UDBE Information (Contractor Contracts), Local Agency Proposer DBE Information (Contractor Contracts), and UDBE Information-Good Faith Efforts respectively. A report on the Utilization of Disadvantaged Business Enterprises (DBE) First-Tier Subcontracts must be included with all invoices. MTC SAFE may withhold payment pending receipt of such report. For the complete DBE participation provisions applicable to this procurement, see Section VI.H of the RFP and Appendix J.
3	Letter of Invitation, Mandatory Pre-Bid TMC Video Wall Assessment, Page 3	A Pre-Bid TMC video wall assessment visit is mandatory prior to submitting a proposal. To schedule an assessment, Proposers must contact Hector Garcia (hector_garcia@dot.ca.gov) by the date and time indicated in the Contractor Selection Timetable. During this assessment, proposers shall view, at minimum, the existing video wall equipment and its platform, confirm dimensions, gather additional information about the storage area, work area, delivery path, loading dock, and in general, assess whether the proposer can provide a viable solution. Additionally, during the assessment, the proposer shall gather information to respond to Section IV D.3, D.4, and H.1 of the proposal. The Proposer shall be accompanied by a certified California licensed structural engineer who shall carry out the assessment. The assessment, guided by Hector Garcia or his designee, shall take place for no longer than two hours. If requested by the Proposer, an additional 2-hour visit within the <i>available same dates week</i> can be scheduled. While a lift to reach the top cube units is available, the proposer is responsible for supplying their own means for measuring all video wall dimensions. Appendices B through B-4 provide preliminary and unconfirmed dimensions and specifications, and it is the responsibility of the Proposer to confirm this and all other necessary information during the assessment.

4	Letter of Invitation, Contractor Selection Timetable, Page 4	June 30, 2010 at 4:00pm Thursday, July 22, 2010 at 4:00pm	Closing date to schedule Pre-Bid TMC Video Wall assessment appointments with Hector Garcia, hector_garcia@dot.ca.gov
		July 5 – July 8, 2010 and July 26 – July 27. 2010- 2-hour appointments available from 9:00am to 3:00pm	Pre-bid TMC Video Wall assessments at Caltrans District 4 (111 Grand Ave. Oakland, CA 94623-0660)
		July 12, 2010 at 4:00pm July 28, 2010 at 4:00pm	Closing date/time for receipt of requests for clarification/exceptions
		July 21, 2010 at 4:00pm August 6, 2010 at 4:00pm	Closing date/time for receipt of proposals
		August 10-12, 2010 August 16-17. 2010	Interviews/discussion (if held)
		August 25, 2010 at 4:00pm August 27, 2010 at 4:00pm	Closing date/time for submittal of Best and Final Offers (if required)
		September 10, 2010	MTC SAFE Operations Committee Approval
		September 20, 2010	Execution of contract (approximate)
5	IV Proposal Form, Page 2	Proposers must submit an original and six (6) hard copies and one electronic copy in pdf format of their for each proposal to MTC SAFE, at the address listed on page 2 of the letter of invitation, by 4:00 pm, Wednesday, July 21, 2010 Friday, August 6, 2010, to be considered. Proposal content and completeness are most important. Clarity is essential and will be considered in assessing the proposer's capabilities. Each proposal shall include:	
6	IV Proposal Form, H Supplementary Information, Page 4	4. Submit one copy of the California Structural Engineer's license, valid through August 6, 2010. The name on the license must match the name of the Structural Engineer who attended the pre-bid video wall assessment.	


7	IV Proposal Form, I Forms and Certificates, Page 4	<div>1. A signed California Levine Act statement (Appendix G).</div> <div>2. A signed Insurance Requirements document (Appendix H-1).</div> <div>3. Federally-required certifications related to lobbying, debarment, and subcontractor information (Appendices I-1, I-2, I-3, I-4, and I-5, and I-6).</div>																									
8	Appendix A- Scope of Work, Video Wall Performance Specifications, Page 16	<table><tr><th>Parameter</th><th>Requirement</th></tr><tr><td>Cube Type</td><td>Rear projection, LED illuminated, DLP, rear access</td></tr><tr><td>Cube Size</td><td>50” diagonal or to 80” diagonal (nominal)</td></tr><tr><td>Wall Configuration</td><td>5(H) x 7(W) or 3(H) x 4(W) 3 to 5 (H) by 4 to 7 (W)</td></tr><tr><td>Contrast Ratio</td><td>1400:1 minimum</td></tr><tr><td>Resolution</td><td>1400 x 1050 minimum</td></tr><tr><td>Aspect Ratio</td><td>4:3</td></tr><tr><td>Brightness</td><td>120cd/m² minimum</td></tr><tr><td>Brightness Uniformity</td><td>85% minimum</td></tr><tr><td>½ Gain Viewing Angle (H)</td><td>Greater than 30°</td></tr><tr><td>½ Gain Viewing Angle (V)</td><td>Greater than 30°</td></tr><tr><td>Separation between adjacent display modules (seam)</td><td>4mm maximum</td></tr></table>	Parameter	Requirement	Cube Type	Rear projection, LED illuminated, DLP, rear access	Cube Size	50” diagonal or to 80” diagonal (nominal)	Wall Configuration	5(H) x 7(W) or 3(H) x 4(W) 3 to 5 (H) by 4 to 7 (W)	Contrast Ratio	1400:1 minimum	Resolution	1400 x 1050 minimum	Aspect Ratio	4:3	Brightness	120cd/m ² minimum	Brightness Uniformity	85% minimum	½ Gain Viewing Angle (H)	Greater than 30°	½ Gain Viewing Angle (V)	Greater than 30°	Separation between adjacent display modules (seam)	4mm maximum	
Parameter	Requirement																										
Cube Type	Rear projection, LED illuminated, DLP, rear access																										
Cube Size	50” diagonal or to 80” diagonal (nominal)																										
Wall Configuration	5(H) x 7(W) or 3(H) x 4(W) 3 to 5 (H) by 4 to 7 (W)																										
Contrast Ratio	1400:1 minimum																										
Resolution	1400 x 1050 minimum																										
Aspect Ratio	4:3																										
Brightness	120cd/m ² minimum																										
Brightness Uniformity	85% minimum																										
½ Gain Viewing Angle (H)	Greater than 30°																										
½ Gain Viewing Angle (V)	Greater than 30°																										
Separation between adjacent display modules (seam)	4mm maximum																										
9	Appendix A- Scope of Work, Task 1, Page 17	<div>Product cut sheets and on-site demonstrations</div> <div>Provide product cut sheets and on-site demonstrations to MTC SAFE and Caltrans for the proposed products. <i>For the on-site demonstration at Caltrans D4, CONTRACTOR shall deliver, set up and configure two video cubes as proposed and a standalone video source. Both cubes shall be joined and the video shall span across to demonstrate the gap between the cubes. CONTRACTOR shall remove the demonstration equipment after 14 days.</i></div> <div>Deliverables: Product cut sheets and on-site product demonstrations.</div>																									
10	Appendix A- Scope of Work, Task 7b) Equipment Installation, Page 19	<div>b) Equipment Installation</div> <div><div>• Install all equipment within the determined working hours schedule provided by Caltrans.</div><div>• Ensure proper connection to and integration with the existing Jupiter Fusion 980 controllers and video graphic cards. <i>This includes, but is not limited to, renewing the</i></div></div>																									

		<p><i>Fusion 980 controller warranty,, updating the Jupiter Fusion 980 firmware etc. The Fusion controller serial number is 4507.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Ensure proper alignment, color balance, and balance brightness of all video units.• Provide all necessary construction tools and equipment according to the applicable standards for electrical and electronic equipment to remove, install, and test video wall components.• <i>Restore any existing connections and devices including, but not limited to, network connections and plasma screens attached, or adjacent to, the structural frame.</i>		
11	Appendix A- Scope of Work, Task 10) Maintenance, Page 20	<p><u>Maintenance</u></p> <p>A 6 year maintenance support plan shall commence following system acceptance per the Acceptance Test Report. Maintenance shall include, but is not limited to, the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Next business day response time for all parts and labor (including consumables);• Minimum two site visits per year (including alignments);• Warranties on all manufacturer equipment and systems provided under contract;• One (1) complete set of replacement LED lamps. <i>LED lamps already built in as part of an automated failover feature are considered as replacement LED's.</i>• <i>Warranty and support for the Jupiter 980 Fusion controllers (excluding parts beyond warranty coverage)</i> <p>Deliverables: 6-year warranty and maintenance plan and support.</p>		
12	Appendix D- Project Schedule, Notice to Proceed 2, Page 30	<table border="1"><tr><td>Completion date for Tasks 1-9</td><td>No later than March 31, 2011</td></tr></table>	Completion date for Tasks 1-9	No later than March 31, 2011
Completion date for Tasks 1-9	No later than March 31, 2011			
13	Appendix I-5- UDBE Information – Good Faith Efforts, Page 51	Appendix I-5, UDBE Information – Good Faith Efforts, is deleted in its entirety and replaced with the attached Appendix I-5, UDBE Information – Good Faith Efforts.		

The remaining provisions of the Request for Proposal, dated June 23, 2010, remain unchanged.

Any questions concerning this addendum to the RFP should be directed to Sze Lei Leong, SAFE Project Manager, at (510) 817-5803 or sleong@mtc.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Steve Heminger", is written over the printed name and title.

Steve Heminger
Executive Director

AF: SL

J:\CONTRACT\Procurements\Operations & Support Svcs\RFPs\SAFE\TMC Video Wall\TMC Video Wall RFP Addendum 1.doc

APPENDIX I-5 - UDBE Information—Good Faith Efforts

Federal-aid Project No. _____ Bid Opening Date _____

MTC SAFE established an Under-utilized Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (UDBE) goal of ~~12%~~ **1%** for this project. The information provided herein shows that a good faith effort was made.

Bidders shall submit the following information to document adequate good faith efforts. Bidders should submit the following information even if the “Local Agency Bidder – UDBE Commitment” form indicates that the bidder has met the UDBE goal. This will protect the bidder’s eligibility for award of the contract if the administering agency determines that the bidder failed to meet the goal for various reasons, e.g., a UDBE firm was not certified at bid opening, or the bidder made a mathematical error.

Submittal of only the “Local Agency Bidder – UDBE Commitment” form may not provide sufficient documentation to demonstrate that adequate good faith efforts were made.

The following items are listed in the Section entitled “Submission of UDBE Commitment” of the Special Provisions:

- A. The names and dates of each publication in which a request for UDBE participation for this project was placed by the bidder (please attach copies of advertisements or proofs of publication):

Publications	Dates of Advertisement

- B. The names and dates of written notices sent to certified UDBEs soliciting bids for this project and the dates and methods used for following up initial solicitations to determine with certainty whether the UDBEs were interested (please attach copies of solicitations, telephone records, fax confirmations, etc.):

Names of UDBEs Solicited	Date of Initial Solicitation	Follow Up Methods and Dates

- C. The items of work which the bidder made available to UDBE firms, including, where appropriate, any breaking down of the contract work items (including those items normally performed by the bidder with its own forces) into economically feasible units to facilitate UDBE participation. It is the bidder's responsibility to demonstrate that sufficient work to facilitate UDBE participation was made available to UDBE firms.

Items of Work	Bidder Normally Performs Item (Y/N)	Breakdown of Items	Amount (\$)	Percentage Of Contract

- D. The names, addresses and phone numbers of rejected UDBE firms, the reasons for the bidder's rejection of the UDBEs, the firms selected for that work (please attach copies of quotes from the firms involved), and the price difference for each UDBE if the selected firm is not a UDBE:

Names, addresses and phone numbers of rejected UDBEs and the reasons for the bidder's rejection of the UDBEs:

- E. Efforts made to assist interested UDBEs in obtaining bonding, lines of credit or insurance, and any technical assistance or information related to the plans, specifications and requirements for the work which was provided to UDBEs:

F. Efforts made to assist interested UDBEs in obtaining necessary equipment, supplies, materials, or related assistance or services, excluding supplies and equipment the UDBE subcontractor purchases or leases from the prime contractor or its affiliate:

G. The names of agencies, organizations or groups contacted to provide assistance in contacting, recruiting and using UDBE firms (please attach copies of requests to agencies and any responses received, i.e., lists, Internet page download, etc.):

Name of Agency/Organization	Method/Date of Contact	Results

H. Any additional data to support a demonstration of good faith efforts (use additional sheets if necessary):

Name of Proposing Company	
Signature of Authorizing Official	
Date	